

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,480	09/22/2003	Reinhold Schmieding	A8130.0028/P028-A	5525	
24998 DICKSTEIN S	7590 03/27/2007 SHAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER			
1825 EYE STREET NW			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D		
Washington, DC 20006-5403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738	,	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

WV
----

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/665,480	SCHMIEDING, REINHOLD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher D. Prone	3738		

	Christopher D. Prone	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE. '	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final reinsties wh	ishawasia latas Ila
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	i.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	i E below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		coled claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / mionamone	(1 1 0 2 0 2 1).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-15</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13.  Other:	? -		
	CORRINE McDERMOTT	Co.	
•	ANI BUILD WAS TO SELECT TO	<b>~</b> ₩	

CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended extensively to better define the transverse eye and its relationship with the longitudinal channels, which will require further search and consideration.